

07 19.06.2024
AN Ct. No. 01
RP

MAT 970 of 2023
with
IA No. CAN 1 of 2023

Kakoli Sarkar
Vs.
Dipanwita Sarkar & Ors.

Mr. Puspendu Chakraborty
... for the IOCL

Mr. Kumar Jyoti Tewari
Mr. Aniruddha Tewari
... for respondent no. 1

1. None appears for the appellant. Learned counsel for the IOCL submitted that the directions issued by the learned Single Judge have been implemented and the fresh assessment was made with regard to the certificates produced by the petitioner and the dealership has been awarded in favour of the petitioner which is yet to be commissioned. Further, it is submitted that the Public Interest Litigation was also filed before this Court in WPA(P) 312 of 2022 alleging that if the LPG Godown is constructed in the said area, there is likelihood of mishap. Hence, the said writ petition was dismissed by the order dated 04.01.2024. Thus, we find no grounds to interfere with the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

2. Hence, this appeal stands **dismissed**. Consequently, the connected application also stands dismissed.

(T. S. Sivagnanam)
(Chief Justice)

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)

