

16.05.2024.
Court No.13
Item No. **223**
Sws.M

W.P.A. No. 13448 of 2024

Kripasindhu Mondal & Ors.
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Tamal Taru Panda

...For the petitioners.

Ms. Chandana Ghosh

...for the State

The affidavit of service filed by the learned Advocate for the petitioners in Court today be kept on record.

The material facts of the case are supported by records and hence I have not called for affidavits.

The father of the petitioners was an assistant teacher in a primary school. He died-in-harness on 01.11.1976. The pension payment order was issued on 16.02.2015. The [petitioners](#) approached the concerned authority for payment of interest on the delayed payment of arrear pension. Being unsuccessful, the [petitioners have](#) approached this Court. In this writ petition they pray for interest on the delayed payment of arrear pensionary benefit.

It is now well settled that if there is a delay in releasing the pensionary benefits to a retired employee or to the legal heir of a deceased employee, some amount of interest should be paid to compensate for delay in releasing the benefits. The pensionary benefits are welfare measures meant to enable a retired employee or the dependants of a deceased employee to live a life of dignity. Hence, it is imperative and of utmost importance that such

benefits are released to a retired employee or the legal heir of a deceased employee without any delay. If there is delay, interest at a reasonable rate is payable by way of compensation. This is not punitive but purely compensatory.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner drew my attention to a Memorandum dated November 1, 2010 issued by the School Education Department, Budget Branch, Government of West Bengal. The said Memorandum pertains to pension/family pension in respect of the employees who retired or died-in-harness prior to April 1, 1981. Paragraph 4 of the said Memorandum provides inter alia, that the financial benefit may be given with effect from April 1, 1981 or from the date of application for pension/family pension whichever is later.

In the present case, the petitioner cannot state with any certainty the date of application for pension/family pension. Hence, in view of the aforesaid and also having regard to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of D.D. Tewari (Dead) through legal representative Vs. Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited & Ors., I direct the concerned Treasury Officer to pay to the petitioners interest @ 9% per annum on the amount released in favour of the [petitioners](#) by way of pensionary benefit on and from April 1, 1981 till the date of issuance of

Pension Payment Order.

Such payment of interest is to be made within eight weeks from the date of communication of the certified copy of this order to the concerned authorities.

The concerned Treasury Officer shall verify as to whether the petitioner is present in person along with the documents of identity before making any disbursement as directed hereinabove. In the event the petitioner is not present, the matter shall be reported to the Registrar General of this Court for appropriate action.

Since no affidavit-in-opposition has been called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have not been admitted by the respondents.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties, on priority basis.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)