

15.05.2024
Item No.20
Ct.No.34
dc.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION

C.R.R. 1830 of 2024

In the matter of : **Souvik Dasmal alias Sunny** ... Petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Banerjee,
Mr. Rohit Kundu ... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Debasish Roy, Ld. P.P.,
Mr. Rudradipta Nandy,
Mr. Arijit Ganguly ... For the State.

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharya,
Mr. Sabyasachi Chatterjee,
Mr. Pintu Karar,
Mr. Akashdeep Mukherjee,
Ms. Sayani Manna ... For the Opposite Party No.2.

FORM A submitted by the State be kept with the record. A copy of the same be given to Mr. Banerjee, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner.

A list of 15 items have been placed before this Court in connection with Netaji Nagar Police Station Case No. 250 of 2023 dated 06.12.2023.

Mr. Banerjee, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner states that to the best of his knowledge, majority of the items has been received by the petitioner, but he has to crosscheck in respect of the list so handed over before this Court.

In case any of the items are missing, if an application is forwarded, the State would cooperate with the petitioner. However, Mr. Banerjee's contention is regarding the contents of the materials in the seizure list particularly the electronic evidence.

Mr. Nandy, learned advocate appearing for the State has contended that the electronic evidence referred to by the

learned advocate for the petitioner has been sent to CFSL for examination and is awaiting the opinion of the experts. In case the State intends to rely upon the same, State would supply copies of the report and the materials which they intend to rely or give inspection to the petitioner prior to the same being produced in connection with the instant case. In the alternative, if the State does not intend to rely on the same and there are materials appearing in the electronic evidence, an inspection of the same be given to the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner who on inspection would satisfy the learned trial court regarding the requirement of the accused at the appropriate stage.

The investigating agency/prosecuting agency would send communication once in a fortnight so that immediately report is ready from the end of the CFSL. The same may be informed to the learned trial court in seisin of the matter.

With the aforesaid observations, the revisional application being CRR 1830 of 2024 is disposed of.

Pending connected application, if any, is consequently disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)