

25.04.2024
Item No.15
Ct. No. 29
CHC
Rejected

C.R.M.(A) 1457 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Bansdroni** Police Station Case No. **459** of **2023** dated **18.11.2023** under Sections **420/406/467/468/471/120B** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and subsequently Section **409** of Indian Penal Code, 1860 has been added.

And

In the matter of : Amit Kumar Singh @ Amit Kumar Singh
..... petitioner

Mr. Mujibar Ali Naskar,
Ms. Rups Mukherjee
....for the petitioner

Mr. Sandip Chakraborty,
Mr. Kaustav Banerjee
....for the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, no money was received by the petitioner from the de facto complainant. Therefore, question of executing stamp paper and returning such money in cash does not arise. Petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the Case Diary. He submits that, there are bank transactions which establish that, de facto complainant paid money to one M/s. Ginisus Solution who immediately on the same date transferred the amount to the bank account of the petitioner.

Money trail between the de facto complainant through Ginisus Solution and the petitioner, stands established. Petitioner received money from the de facto complainant through Ms. Ginisus Solution.

Money was paid by the de facto complainant for supply of materials which remains unsupplied till date.

Requirement of custodial interrogation of the petitioner is made out from the materials in the Case Diary.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

This application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

CRM(A) 1457 of 2024 is disposed of.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)