

15.05.2024
Serial no. 6
[G.S.D]

CRR 1694 of 2024

**In the matter of : Dinesh Chandra Hait @ Dinesh Ch.
Hayait & Ors.**

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Sauradeep Dutta
Mr. A. Mukherjee

... for the petitioner

Mr. Debasish Roy
Mr. Prakash Mishra

... for the State

Report submitted by the State be kept with the
record.

Memo of evidence so submitted by the State be also
kept with the record.

The report reflects that charge-sheet was submitted
in connection with Jangipara P.S. Case No. 04 of 2019 in the
year 2019 itself under sections 498A/34 of the IPC.

The records reflect that initially the present
petitioners were not regularly appearing before the court.
However, when they started to appear, there were absence
of the witnesses in court.

The record also reflects that on 13.8.24, date has
been fixed for evidence of CSW1.

In view of the series of events, which reflects that
five years have passed without any progress in the case, I

direct the Id. trial court to take steps for expediting the process so that the whole of the procedure of the case is not frustrated.

Accordingly, a date be fixed once in every 45 days so that the trial of the case can be taken to its logical conclusion within a reasonable period of time.

If, on the date so fixed, any witness is not available, in that case, the Id. trial court would communicate with the inspector in charge of Jangipara P.S., who would take steps for ensuring the availability of the witnesses or, in the alternative, submit a report regarding the non-availability of such witness.

It would be the discretion of the Id. trial court whether to fix further date(s) and/or give opportunity to the witness(s) to appear.

No unnecessary adjournments be granted to any of the parties. Id. Public Prosecutor conducting the case would produce the materials, exhibits and documents on the date so fixed for examination of the witnesses. All stakeholders would cooperate with the Id. trial court so that the trial of the case is concluded at the earliest. The trial of the case would continue in spite of any resolution of the local Bar and the participating advocates in any manner or the other will not stall the proceedings in spite of such resolution.

With the aforesaid observations, CRR 1694 of 2024 is disposed of.

Pending application, if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)