

May 14, 2024
Sl. No.15
Court No.14
s.biswas

WPA 10877 of 2024

Manju Das and others
vs.
The State of West Bengal and others

Mr. Ujjal Ray
Mr. Ivan Roy
Mr. Sk. Abdur Rahim

... for the petitioners

Mr. Amitesh Banerjee, SSC
Mr. Debangshu Dinda

... for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner's vehicle has been forcefully intercepted and thereafter detained at the police station without issuance of any challan or seizure list. Thus, there was no question of any amount being paid, as required. Even an employee of the petitioner was detained illegally for two days at the police station. The petitioner had to call the local human rights people to have him released. During the pendency of the writ petition, the vehicle has been returned to the petitioner.

Learned Senior Standing Counsel representing the State denies the allegation of police over-action, relies on the report submitted earlier and submits as follows. As the vehicle was overloaded, a challan was issued to the owner. As the amount was not paid earlier, the vehicle was kept at the police station for

ensuring its safety. Now, such amount has been paid and the vehicle has been released.

At this stage, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that he is not pressing the issue of the employee being detained for any time and instead, wants the police authorities to ensure that the petitioners can run business in the area without any hindrance.

In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the police authorities to keep a sharp vigil at the locale where the petitioners run the business so that no breach of peace takes place.

Parties shall act on a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)