

WPA 10612 of 2024

Ranjini Sarkar Bhattacharya.
-vs-
The State of W.B. & ors.

Mr. Debarshi Brahma
...for the petitioner
Mr. Kallol Kr. Basu
Mr. Jannat Ul Firdous
...for the private respondent

Mr. Pantu Deb Roy
Mr. Pannalal Bandopadhyay
....for the State

A Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the respondent no.4 is taken on record.

Supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the petitioner is treated as an exception to the report of the State filed earlier and is taken on record.

A further report of the State is also taken on record.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no.4 points out that there are some inherent defects in the writ petition.

Indeed, there are certain incurable defects in the writ petition.

The writ petition be accordingly disposed of without any further order.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file an

appropriate application afresh on the self-same grounds.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)