

11.11.2024
Ct. No. 25
Sl. No.52
KB

**In The High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

W.P.A. 9660 of 2017

**Sarajit Mandal
-versus-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

**Md. Manuwar Ali
... For the petitioner.**

**Mr. Milan Kumar Maity
... For the State.**

1. The petitioner is aggrieved being not approved as a Group D staff of the respondent school where he has been working since 2009. He has expressed his grievance as above to the District Inspector of Schools (Secondary Education), Murshidabad vide a letter dated 6.01.2015. The same is yet to be considered by the said respondent.

2. The respondents are represented. Learned Advocate appearing for the State had indicated that after promulgation of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997, the petitioner's prayer may not be a legible one.

3. Considering the entire as above, the present writ petition is being disposed directing the respondent- District Inspector of Schools (Secondary Education),

Murshidabad to consider and decide upon the petitioner's representation dated 6.01.2015. In doing so, said respondent shall afford opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and the school authority and also consider relevant documents as produced by them.

4. The entire exercise as above shall be concluded by the respondent-District Inspector of Schools (Secondary Education), Murshidabad within a period of four weeks from the date of communication of this order.

5. There shall be no order as to costs.

6. All parties shall act on a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)