

M/L 538  
11.12.2024  
Kausik  
ct.no.35

**W.P.A. 9209 of 2024**

**Pintu Ghosh  
Versus  
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Malay Bhattacharyya  
Mr. Subhrajyoti Ghosh

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Monish Sen  
Ms. Oisani Mukherjee

...for the respondent no. 4 to 10.

Mr. Susovan Sengupta  
Mr. Subir Pal

...for the state.

Petitioner is aggrieved that the private respondents are trying to encroach upon their land and as such he is unable to cultivate his own land.

On the other hand learned advocate for the private respondents submits that the petitioners have sold out a portion of their land and trying to encroach their land.

Learned advocate for the state submits that the dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents are absolutely civil dispute and police authorities have no role to play.

Petitioner has complained with the Superintendent of Police, Purba Bardhaman with copy to Officer-in-Charge, Shaktigarh Police Station.

I find that the main thrust was on the order of section 144 Cr.P.C. passed by the learned Executive Magistrate which by efflux of time has lost its force.

Petitioner and the opposite parties are at loggerhead.

Accordingly the Officer-in-Charge of Shaktigarh Police Station will ensure that no breach of the peace takes place over the issue of cultivation which both the parties presently are adamant to encroach upon.

With the aforesaid observations WPA 9209 of 2024 is disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

***(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)***