

08.07.2024
Sl. No.8(DL)
srm

W.P.A. No. 9182 of 2024

Minati Paul

Versus

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Kaustav Bagchi,
Mr. Debayan Ghosh,
Ms. Prithi Kar

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Shuvro P. Lahiri,
Md. Ejaz Akhter

...for the State-respondents.

Affidavit-of-service is taken on record.

The petitioner has approached this Court after 11 years from the alleged cause of action.

It is the contention of the petitioner that he had completed certain works given by the authorities, but the payments had not been received. Thus, a mandamus is sought for directing the authorities to release the amounts, as stated in the writ petition.

Learned Advocate for the State-respondents submits that the petitioner has filed a belated writ petition praying for release of fund for the alleged works done in 2013. First representation made by the petitioner was in 2013. Thereafter in 2018, after five years. The petitioner was in a slumber for another six years and

filed the representation in 2024. The authorities submit that the authenticity of the claim of the petitioner is doubtful.

This Court is not inclined to express any opinion on the claim of the petitioner. This Court of course finds that the petition is belated. However, the concerned Block Development officer is directed to intimate the petitioner with regard to the issues raised in the writ petition by passing a reasoned order. The said order shall reach the petitioner within two months from the date of communication of this order.

The writ petition is, thus, disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Parties are directed to act on the basis of the server copy of this order.

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)