

1
18.09.2024
Ct. No. 11
rrc

FMA 17 of 2023
with
IA No. CAN 1 of 2017 (Old No. CAN 3324 of 2017)
(Karnani Properties Limited & Anr. Vs. Union
of India & Ors.)

Mr. Tanmay Mukherjee
Mr. Neelesh Choudhury
Mr. A. Poddar

.... For the appellants

Mr. Pramod Kr. Drolia
Mr. Santosh Kr. Pandey

..... For the respondents

Mr. Mukherjee, learned advocate for the appellants, submits that the respondents were inducted as tenants in the premises in question. However, some disputes arose between the parties, which have now been amicably settled out of court.

In accordance with the terms and conditions of the settlement, the respondents agree to hand over vacant possession of the suit premises to the appellants upon verification of the appellants' ownership of the property as of the present date. The respondents have also notified their intention to do so in the newspapers. Mr. Mukherjee submits that, in this context, the appellants do not want to proceed with the appeal and its connected application.

The written instruction and the documents, as submitted on behalf of the appellants, be taken on record.

Mr. Drolia, learned advocate representing the respondents, enters appearance on behalf of the respondents.

Taking note of these subsequent developments, the prayer made on behalf of the appellants to withdraw the appeal and its related application is granted. Accordingly, the appeal and its connected application are dismissed as withdrawn.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the learned advocates for the parties.

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.) (Tapabrata Chakraborty, J.)