

03.04.2024

82
S.D./A.D.
Rejected

C.R.M. (A) No. 1099 of 2024

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Lalgarh Police Station Case No. 80 of 2023 dated 16.10.2023 under Sections 341/325/302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Dipali Bhui petitioner

Mr. Ritwik Pattanayak

.....for the petitioner

Ms. Zareen N. Khan

Ms. M. Sultana

....for the State

1. Petitioner submits that she has been falsely implicated in the case. She prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Lawyer for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. We have considered the materials on record. Statement of the eyewitnesses show petitioner had participated in the assault. It is argued co-accused has been granted bail. Parameters for grant of regular bail are not same with the considerations for grant of anticipatory bail. The latter being much stricter.
4. Accordingly, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
5. The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)