

Court No. 29
23.04.2024
(AD 89)
(S. Banerjee)

CPAN 505 of 2024

in

WP.ST 107 of 2021

Dr. Bazlul Islam
Vs.
Dr. Siddhartha Niyogi

Mr. Golam Mustafa
Mr. Tarasankar Samanta
Mr. Aktaruzzaman
... for the petitioner
Mr. Tapan Kumar Mukherjee, AGP
Mr. Somnath naskar
... for the alleged contemnor

Petitioner complains of violation of order dated
19th June, 2023 passed in WP.ST 107 of 2021.

By such order, we gave liberty to the writ
petitioner to avail of the facility as directed by the
impugned order of the Tribunal. We directed that in the
event the writ petitioner makes a representation in
terms of the impugned order within 7 days from date,
the authorities will consider the same within the
timeframe as stipulated by the impugned order of the
Tribunal.

Mr. Mukherjee, learned AGP appearing for the
alleged contemnor submits that, the authorities
considered and decided the representation by the order

dated April 15, 2024 and rejected the claim of the petitioner.

Since the authorities complied with the direction as contained in the order dated June 19, 2023, we are not minded to invoke provisions of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 or Article 215 of the Constitution of India.

CPAN 505 of 2024 is, accordingly, disposed of without any order as to costs.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)