

19.03.2024

Serial no. 10
Anticipatory bail
[Rejected]
Dd

CRM (A) 928 of 2024

*In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Manikchak** Police Station Case No. **655** of **2023** dated **18.08.2023** under Sections **448/323/506/34** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections **8/12** of POCSO Act..*

-And-

In the matter of : **Raj Ansari**
... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Amitava Karmakar,
Mr. Arup Kr. Bhowmick, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioner

Md. Anwar Hossaik, Advocate
... ..For the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated due to property disputes.

We perused the materials in the case diary.

The victim recorded a statement under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code where, she claims that the petitioner attempted to rape her and on his failure forcibly made her to take acid.

Medical documents of the victim suggest that she was being treated for indigestion of acid.

In view of the gravity of offence and the involvement of the petitioner as transpiring from the materials in the case diary notwithstanding the fact that police filed charge sheet, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 928 of 2024is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)