

14.03.2024

Serial no. 14
Anticipatory bail
[Rejected]
Dd

CRM (A) 909 of 2024

*In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **English Bazar** Police Station Case No. **150** of **2024** dated **31.01.2024** under Sections **498A/323/304B/306/34** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.*

-And-

In the matter of : **Bittu Ram @ Bidhu Ram & Ors.**
... ..**Petitioners**

Mr. Sujoy Sarkar,
Mr. Musharraf Alam Sk.
Mr. Rahul Chachan, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, APP
Mr. S. S. Saha, Advocates
... ..For the State

Mr. Avinaba Patra,
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee, Advocate
... ..For the de facto complainant

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that two of the petitioners are senior citizens.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the postmortem report and to the statement of the neighbours recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

De facto complainant is represented.

Postmortem report of the victim demonstrates that, the victim suffered injuries apart from the non-continuous ligature mark on her neck, suggesting commission of suicide. All the petitioners before us are residents of the same matrimonial

home of the victim. Petitioner no. 1 is the husband of the victim while the other two petitioners are the parents-in-law of the victim. Neighbours implicate all the petitioners before us in meting out both physical and mental torture on the victim for demand of dowry. Death occurred at the matrimonial home within two years of marriage.

Considering such aspects of the matter, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 909 of 2024 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)