

AD-28
Ct No.16
03.12.2024
TN

FAT 88 of 2016

Srimati Subrata Saha and others
Vs.
Sandip Kumar Basu and others

Ms. Amrita Pandey,
Ms. Sneha Singh

....for the respondent no.1

1. This is the second successive occasion when the appellants are not represented by counsel, although the respondent no.1 is appearing through counsel.
2. Accordingly, FAT 88 of 2016 is dismissed for default without any order as to costs.
3. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)