

02.08.2024
Sl. No. 456.
M/L.
Mithun
Ct.No.18

WPA 5506 of 2023

Uttam Kumar Nath
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr.Gausul Alam,
Ms. Sanchayita De

..for the petitioner.

Mr. Suman Dey

...for the State.

Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the
petitioner is taken on record.

In spite of service of notice, no one appears for
the State respondents. Mr. Suman Dey, learned
Advocate who usually represents the State
respondents is present in Court. He is requested to
represent the State respondents. Engagement of Mr.
Dey be regularized by the office of the learned
Government Pleader.

Petitioner is the retired Assistant Teacher, who
superannuated on 31st December, 2018. After
superannuation of the petitioner, first Pension Payment
Order was issued on 10th December, 2018 and as per
the first pension payment order, disbursement of
retiral dues was made in favour of the petitioner.
Subsequently, due to revision of pay as per ROPA-

2019, revised pension payment order was issued on 10th March, 2022. Petitioner claims interest on revised gratuity as per revised pension payment order.

Accordingly, the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance and the concerned Treasury Officer are directed to pay interest at the rate of 8 % per annum to the petitioner on revised gratuity as per revised pension payment order from 14th February, 2020 till the date of actual disbursement of revised gratuity in favour of the petitioner, within a period of 8 weeks from the date of communication of this order.

Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

With the above observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order if applied for be supplied for the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)