

11.03.2024
Court No.13
Item No.162
g.b.

WPA 5282 of 2023

Nayan Chandra Gulimajhi
Vs.
The State of West Bengal and Ors.

Mr. Uttam Kumar Roy

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay
Ms. Lipika Chatterjee

... For the State.

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today is taken on record.

This is an application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, wherein the petitioner is aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondent authorities in repaying the alleged overdrawal amount along with interest on the delayed disbursement of the pensionary benefits of the petitioner.

In the present case, the writ petitioner is aggrieved by the order of deduction of the overdrawn amount of a sum of Rs.43,482/- after his retirement. The writ petitioner was an Assistant Teacher who retired from service on 31.05.2005 and the pension was paid by the authorities after deducting the aforesaid amount as overdrawn amount.

Counsel on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was neither given any notice of the alleged overdrawal amount nor was given any opportunity to explain such disbursement prior to direct recovery of the same from the pensionary benefits and such abrupt and

whimsical action of the respondents is blatantly derogative of the principles of natural justice.

The issues arising in the above writ petition have been discussed and decided by an order dated December 3, 2019 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in WPA No. 745 of 2019 (Amal Kumar Chaudhuri Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.)

In view of the above, it is clear that a Writ of Mandamus lies in the present facts and circumstances of this case as the overdrawn amount that has been deducted is without any basis in law.

I, accordingly, direct the respondent authorities to release the amount of Rs.43,482/- to the petitioner along with interest @ 8% per annum with effect from the date of issuance of the pension payment order. Such payment is to be made to the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of communication of this order.

The petitioner has undertaken before this court that he shall not claim any further benefits on account of pension that is being paid to him based on the last drawn pay as per the pension payment order dated 16.06.2005.

With the aforesaid directions, the instant writ petition is disposed of.

Since no affidavit-in-opposition has been called for, the allegations made in the writ petitioner are deemed to have not been admitted by the respondents.

There will be no order as to costs.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be made available to the petitioner upon compliance with the requisite formalities.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)