

26.06.2024
Sl. No.4
PG/KS
Ct. No.1

R.V.W. 62 of 2024
in
M.A.T. 817 of 2023
With
I.A. No. CAN 1 of 2024
Mohammad Hasanoor Jaman Chowdhury & Anr.
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Atish Dipankar Ray
Mr. Soumyajit Mishra
.....for the Review Applicants

Ms. Soni Ojha
Ms. Sambrita B. Chatterjee
.....for the Respondent No.5

Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld. G.P.
Md. T. M. Siddiqui
Mr. Tanoy Chakraborty
Mr. Saptak Sanyal
.....for the State

1. We have elaborately heard the learned advocate for the review applicants and the learned State counsel.
2. We find that no error, which is apparent on the face of the order has been pointed out for us to exercise the review jurisdiction.
3. It is settled legal principle that review is not an appeal in disguise.
4. Accordingly, review application is dismissed.
5. After we have dictated the above order, the learned advocate for the review applicants prayed for extension of time to file an appeal before the statutory appellate authority for which 60 days time was granted.

6. It is submitted by the learned advocate for the review applicants that appeal could not be filed within the time permitted since, this review application was pending.
7. We have heard the learned State counsel on the above submission.
8. Considering the above submission, the time for filing the appeal before the statutory authority is extended by a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of server copy of this order.
9. No costs.
10. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished to the parties expeditiously upon compliance of all legal formalities.

(T.S SIVAGNANAM)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SUPRATIM BHATTACHARYA, J.)