

28.03.2025
Ct. No.33
Sl. No.12
cm

WPA 2286 of 2016

Sarina Mondal
vs.
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Dinabandhu Chowdhury
Mr. Amal Kumar Saha
Ms. Iresh Paul

... for the petitioner

Mr. Swapan Kumar Nandi
Ms. Banani Bhattacharya

... for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2/UOI

Mr. Surajit Auddy
Ms. Swapnalekha Auddy

... for the respondent Nos. 3/SBI

The affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the petitioner. Let the same be kept on record.

The learned advocate representing the respondent Nos.3/SBI is granted liberty to correct the head note of the affidavit-in-opposition which was inadvertently stated as affidavit-in-reply. The affidavit-in-opposition so filed by the respondent Nos.3/SBI be kept on record.

A copy of the same has been served upon the learned advocate representing the petitioner.

The learned advocate representing the petitioner intends to file affidavit-in-reply to the same and the same is allowed.

Let the matter be fixed on 19th May, 2025 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)