

CPAN 351 of 2024
In
WPA 1315 of 2024

Smt. Santi Das Bhowmick & Anr.
Vs.
Alok Rajoria & Ors.

Mr. Pritam Majumdar
Mr. Rahul Deb Goenka
....for the applicants

Mr. Ashim Kumar Ganguly
Ms. Sukla Das Chandra
....for the alleged contemnors

Compliance report filed on behalf of the State is
taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners/applicants submits that the alleged
contemnors have violated this Court's order passed on
29.01.2024 in WPA 1315 of 2024. Neither has the
police kept the vigil as directed nor has the private
respondent kept his undertaking before this Court that
they would not block the egress and ingress to the
chamber and residence of the petitioners.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
State/alleged contemnor No. 2 denies the allegations,
relies on the report and submits that at present there
is no commotion taking place at the place in question.
There were instances of the priest of the temple,
mistakenly playing the sound system once or twice.

Otherwise, there is peace in the area and the police are keeping a sharp vigil. Incidentally, the contemnor no. 3 has passed away and the contemnor no. 2 has been transferred.

It does not appear that there is a deliberate violation of the Court's order by the contemnor no. 2 or his successor in office. The contemnor no. 3 has passed away.

If there is any further disturbance caused by any of the heirs of the deceased contemnor, it shall be open to the petitioners to take appropriate steps for such fresh cause of action.

Therefore, no further order need be passed on this application.

Accordingly, the application of contempt is disposed of without any order, even as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)