

04 05.03.2024
NB Ct. 24

In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
(Appellate Side)

WPA 3998 of 2024

Snigdha Dhar
Vs.
The Kolkata Municipal Corporation & Ors.

Ms. Smritikana Ghosh.
...for the petitioner.

Ms. Indrani Nandi.
...for the State.

Mr. Debjit Mukherjee,
Mr. S. Chatterjee.
...for the KMC.

Mr. Oishik Chatterjee.
...for the private respondent.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that his client does not intend to proceed with the writ petition any further.

Communication made by the petitioner to the learned advocate is taken on record.

It appears from the averments and prayers made in the writ petition that the same relates to an unauthorised construction carried on at the behest of the private respondent at 17/H/6/1, Chaulpatty Road, P.S. Beliaghata.

The issue of unauthorised construction cannot be settled in between the parties.

The Commissioner, Kolkata Municipal Corporation or his delegate is directed to take all necessary steps against the

unauthorised construction standing at the aforesaid premises in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands dismissed as 'not pressed'.

Urgent certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously, on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)