

20.02.2024

Serial no. 15
Anticipatory bail
[Rejected]
Dd

CRM (A) 562 of 2024

*In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Santiniketan** Police Station Case No. **78** of **2023** dated **15.06.2023** under Sections **341/326/307/506/34** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 9(B) of Indian Explosive Act.*

-And-

In the matter of : **Sk. Insaniyat @ Insaniyat Mondal & Ors.**

... ..**Petitioners**

Mr. Manas Kumar Das, Advocate
... .. For the Petitioners

Ms. Debjani Sahu, Advocate
... ..For the State

Leave granted to the learned advocate for the petitioners to correct the cause title of the petition.

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the police filed charge sheet. He points out that Section 9B of Indian Explosive Act was not added in the charge sheet.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that three persons suffered bomb injuries. Remnants of crude bombs were seized from the place of occurrence. Eye-witnesses implicate all the petitioners as participants in that incident.

Materials in the case diary suggest that the petitioners were involved in an incident of assault in which crude bombs were used leading to the injuries suffered by the injured persons.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 562 of 2024 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)