

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

CRR 381 of 2017
with
IA No. CRAN 1 of 2017 (CRAN 974 of 2017)
with
CRAN 3 of 2017 (CRAN 4198 of 2017)

Tajibur Sk.
Vs.
Mojibur Sk. & Ors.

Mr. Goutam Roy
... For the opposite party nos.1 and 2

1. Learned advocate on behalf of the opposite party nos.1 and 2 is present.
2. From the report of the Department, it is found that Administrative Notice was served upon the petitioner but none appears on repeated calls on behalf of the petitioner.
3. In such circumstances, the revisional application stands dismissed for default.
4. All pending applications also stand dismissed.
5. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)