

13.02.2024

48

Ct. No. 29

S.D.

REJECTED

C.R.M.(A) 453 of 2024

In Re:- In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Raghunathganj** Police Station Case No. **30 of 2024** dated **08.01.2024** under Section **376/370/292(A)/506/509/120B** of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Sagar Sk

..... petitioner

Mr. Abdur Rakib

...for the petitioner

Mr. Neguive Ahmed

Mr. Santanu Deb Roy

Ms. Debjani Dasgupta

...for the State

Affidavit of service filed in Court be taken on record.

None appears for the defacto-complainant despite service.

Defacto-complainant recorded a statement under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. which suggests that she married the petitioner before us on the promise of grant of job.

Apparently, the petitioner and the defacto-complainant lived as husband and wife. Apparently, the petitioner sought to divorce the defacto-complainant by way of an affidavit claiming it to contain talak.

There is a previous police complaint at the behest of the petitioner also.

Role of the petitioner in the incident requires investigation.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

The application being **CRM (A) 453 of 2024** is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)