

16.08.2024
Item No.46
PG/ks
Ct. No.36

F.M.A. 70 of 1991

**Nilim Ranjan Roy.
Versus
Monoranjana Roy.**

1. This is the second occasion when none appears for either of the parties at the time of call.
2. F.M.A. 70 of 1991 is, accordingly, dismissed for default without any order as to costs.
3. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)