

31.01.2024
Sl. No.23
akd
[Dismissed not pressed]

C. R. M. (DB) 238 of 2024

In Re: An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 16.01.2024 in connection with Malipanchgora Police Station Case No.155 of 2023 dated 17.07.2023 under Sections 420/406/468/471/409 of the Indian Penal Code. (G.R. Case No.3455 of 2023)

And

In Re: ***Vikash Mundhra***

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Syed Nurul Arefin
Ms. Rashmi Binayak
Mr. Sanju Agarwal

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Joydeep Biswas
Mr. Santanu Talukdar

... .. for the de-facto complainant

Mr. Debasish Roy .. Id. Public Prosecutor
Mr. Rudradipta Nandy .. Id. Addl. Public Prosecutor
Ms. Baisali Basu

... .. for the State

1. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is in custody for about 117 days. It is further submitted petitioner was a former employee of the de-facto complainant's company. There were disputes between himself and the said company. He has been falsely implicated. Allegation at its height relates to statement of accounts. Investigation is complete. Accordingly, he prays for bail.
2. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer for bail and submits petitioner had fabricated books of accounts and created fictitious transactions. Thereby he transferred monies over Rs. 3 crores to companies belonging to him. Trial shall commence shortly.
3. Learned Advocate for the de-facto complainant also opposes the prayer for bail.

4. In view of the fact that State has given assurance that trial shall be conducted expeditiously, petitioner choses not to press the application for bail at present.
5. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)