

16.02.2024
28
sdas
rejected

C.R.M.(DB) No. 277 of 2024

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Hemtabad Police Station Case No. 292 of 2015 dated 23.12.2015 under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 25(i)/27/35 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : Sasthi Datta @ Sasthi Dutta Petitioner

Mr. Kaushik Choudhury
....for the petitioner

Mr. Swapan Banerjee
Mr. Anindya Sundar Chatterjee
..... for the State

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioner he is in custody for more than eight years. Accordingly, he prays for bail.

2. Learned Counsel for the State submits report.

3. It appears from the report trial is at its fag end and date has been fixed for examination of the accused under Section 313 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Offences, if proved, would attract mandatory life imprisonment. Accordingly, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

4. The application for bail is, thus, rejected.

5. Trial court is directed to conduct the trial and conclude the same at an early date preferably within six months from the next date fixed for recording evidence without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

6. Parties shall co-operate with the trial and communicate this order to the trial court for due compliance.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)