

16.12.2024

Serial no. 2

CT.-35

[G.S.D]

CRR 195 of 2024

In the matter of : Kalam Sk

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Sobhendu Sekhar Roy

Mr. Amarendra Chakraborty

... for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Debasish Roy, Id. PP

Ms. Faria Hossain

Mr. Anand Keshari

... for the State

The petitioner has approached this court with a prayer for interference in connection with Kaliachak P.S. Case No. 133 of 2022 dated 04.02.2022, wherein, charge-sheet has been submitted before the learned jurisdictional court.

Mr. Roy, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner, submits that, at this stage, he is not interested to pursue with the prayer for quashing, but, is worried regarding the liberty of the petitioner, as warrant of arrest has been issued by the learned jurisdictional court.

Mr. Debasis Roy, learned Public Prosecutor, appears on behalf of the State.

On perusal of the documents, learned Public Prosecutor submits that so far as the other case is concerned, i.e., Kaliachak P.S. Case No. 961 of 2021 dated

10.09.2021, the present petitioner has not been named in the FIR, but, in course of investigation, his name transpired.

It has been pointed out that the warrant of arrest was issued by the learned jurisdictional court, pursuant to which an application for anticipatory bail was preferred before the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta on 20.09.2022 and, *vide* CRM(A) 4489 of 2022 the same has been rejected.

Learned Public Prosecutor submits that there was a prayer for issuance of proclamation and attachment on or about 26.09.2022.

Be that as it may, more than two years have passed in the meantime.

Having considered that the petitioner has expressed his intention to appear before the learned jurisdictional court, the petitioner would appear before the learned jurisdictional court with a prayer for regular bail preferably within a period of 10 days.

Learned Court, in the facts and circumstances, will exercise his/her discretion regarding the application for bail filed at the behest of the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations, CRR 195 of 2024 is disposed of.

Memorandum of Evidence submitted on behalf of the State be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)