

20-02-2024
Subha
Item no.45
Ct no.34

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

CRR 126 of 2024

Arup Das and ors.
-versus-
The State of West Bengal & ors.

Mr. Manjit Singh
Mr. Sk. Sahid Hussain
Mr. Biswajit Mal
....for the petitioners.

Mr. Debasish Roy, Id. PP
Mr. Arijit Ganguly
Mr. Koushik Kundu
...for the State.

Mr. Singh, learned advocate appears on behalf of the petitioner. Learned advocate submits that the learned Additional Sessions Judge, FTC-1, Hooghly, Sadar is in seisin of SC No.149 of 2013 which originated from the Dadpur P. S. Case No. 103 of 2022. Learned advocate further submits that the petitioner no.2 happens to be the de facto complainant of Dadpur P. S. Case No. 121 of 2022 dated 01-06-2022. In view of the issues involved in Dadpur P. S. Case No. 103 of 2022 and the Dadpur P. S. Case No. 121 of 2022, it may be considered as case and counter case or the case between the self-same parties. As such, I am of the view that both the cases must be tried by one and the same court.

As the learned Additional Sessions Judge, FTC-1, Hooghly, Sadar is already in seisin of the case being Dadpur P. S. Case No. 103 of 2022 (S. C No. 149 of 2013), I direct that the learned District

and Sessions Judge would transfer the records Dadpur P. S. Case No. 121 of 2022 to the same court being learned Additional Sessions Judge, FTC-1, Hooghly, Sadar. Learned trial court would conduct the trial of the case one after the other according to his schedule and deliver the judgements on the same date.

. With the aforesaid observations, the present revisional application being CRR 126 of 2024 is disposed of.

Pending connected applications, if any, are consequently disposed of.

All concerned parties are to act in terms of a copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.

[Tirthankar Ghosh, J]