

16.01.2024

Serial no. 8
Anticipatory bail
[Rejected]
Dd

CRM (A) 99 of 2024

*In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Raghunathganj** Police Station Case No. **984** of **2023** dated **26.11.2023** under Sections **498A/302/34** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
(G.R. Case No. 3146 /2023)*

-And-

In the matter of : **Belu Mal & Anr.**

... ..**Petitioners**

Mr. Usuf Ali Dewan,
Mr. Asif Dewan, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Debasish Roy, PP
Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, APP
Mr. Amanul Islam, Advocates
... ...For the State

Petitioners are the in-laws of the victim.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that no specific role was ascribed to any of the petitioners. The death occurred after 14 years of marriage.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary.

There are several statements recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

One of the statements places the petitioners before us at the place and time of occurrence. All the statements speak of previous assault on the victim by the petitioners and torture.

Two other statements ascribe specific role to the husband of the victim.

The victim, apparently, was murdered.

The petitioners before us were at the place of occurrence and that there are previous antecedents of the petitioners assaulting the victim.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 99 of 2024 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)