

03.10.2024.
Item No. 56.
Court No. 13
ap

S.A. No. 225 of 2004
With
I.A. No. CAN 1 of 2016 (Old CAN 2951 of 2016)
And
I.A. No. CAN 2 of 2018 (Old CAN 5273 of 2018)

Sri Rebati Ranjan Roy & Anr.
Versus
Smt. Gita Roy

1. This Court has considered the report of the Registry of this Court dated 27th September, 2024.
2. It appears from the said report that Vakalatnama has not been filed by the learned Advocate for the appellants, Mr. Haradhan Banerjee. It further appears therefrom that the learned Advocate for the respondent, namely, Mr. Samar Kumar Chatterjee has not obtained no objection from the erstwhile Advocate while filing Vakalatnama dated 20th June, 2006.
3. It seems to this Court that the appellants are not interested in pursuing or defending the appeal.
4. The instant appeal shall stand dismissed for default.
5. In view of dismissal of the appeal itself, all the pending connected applications are dismissed.
6. Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.
7. T.C.R., if any, be returned to the Court below.

8. The parties and/or Registry of this Court shall communicate a copy of this order to the Court below for necessary information.

9. All parties are directed to act on a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Rajasekhar Mantha, J.)

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)