

03. 05. 2024

BP
Sl. 3
Court No. 23

In the High Court At Calcutta
Special Civil Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

CPAN 16 of 2023
in
WPA 8394 of 2022

Nigampriya Chakraborty
Vs.
Dr. Sujay Lal Phaosen, Director General
of Police, CRPF, Government of India & Ors.

Mr. Chandan Misra
..for the applicant/petitioner

Mr. Niladri Saha
Ms. Susmita Saha Duta
..for the alleged contemnors

After hearing the parties and considering the affidavits filed in this proceeding, it is apparent that the order under contempt has been complied with in substance.

It is, however, submitted by the alleged contemnors that the petitioner can avail the benefit of Composite Transfer Grant, if the petitioner physically reports to yr GC. The petitioner has not yet reported to the said Headquarter for which the issue of such grant is pending. It is also submitted by the alleged contemnors that the petitioner is not admitted to the hospital at present and the petitioner is also immobile so as to he

cannot report physically. This submission is, however, disputed by the petitioner by referring to his physical condition.

The submissions so far as physically reporting to the Headquarter for availing the Composite Transfer Grant (CTC) is also disputed by the petitioner.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I find that the order under contempt has been complied in substance. The dispute as to the physical condition of the petitioner and his reporting to the Headquarter cannot be gone into by the Court in contempt jurisdiction. The contempt application is, therefore, disposed of. The disposal of the contempt application will however not stand in the way of the petitioner in claiming any relief interlinked with the issues involved in the writ petition wherefrom the order under contempt emanates, if otherwise entitled in law.

(Arindam Mukherjee, J.)

