GAHC020006302024

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/164/2024

MR. VIVITO
SON OF SHIHOTO
R/O HEZULHO VILLAGE
DISTRICT- NIULAND, NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 3 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY/SECRETARY
DEPT. OF WORKS AND HOUSING
GOVT. OF NAGALANDKOHIMA NAGALAND

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY/ SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND.
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

3:THE ENGINEER IN CHIEF
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (PWD)
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

4:THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (R AND B)
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAN

Advocate for the Petitioner : A. ZHIMOMI,

Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL,

Linked Case : WA/25/2024

MR. VIVITO
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
SON OF SHIHOTO
ESIDENT OF HEZULHO VILLAGE
DISTRICT-NIULAND
NAGALAND
PHONE NO.-9856536995

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 3 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND ECRETARY/SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF WORKS AND HOUSING
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY/ SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND.
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

3:THE ENGINEER IN CHIEF
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (PWD)
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

4:THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (R AND B)
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

Advocate for : A. ZHIMOMI
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 3 ORS.

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAKHETO SEMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

Date : 16-10-2024

(K. Sema,J)

Heard Ms. Lhousino, learned counsel for the applicant.

The application has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 read with Rule 2(2) of Chapter V-A of the Gauhati High Court Rules, praying for condonation of delay of 11 days in filing the connected appeal against the judgment and order dated 22.8.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.(C) 204/2023. The grounds explaining the delay is set out at paragraph 2 and 3 of the application.

We have perused the application more particularly paragraphs 2 and 3 and we are satisfied that the applicant has satisfactorily explained the delay.

The delay of 11 days is accordingly condoned.

The application stands disposed of.

We have also heard Ms. V. Suokhrie, learned Addl. A.G for the State respondents.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant