GAHC020002482024

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : COP(C)/7/2024

SMTI. YIZANO
OFFICE PEON, OFFICE OF THE EXTRA ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
AQHUNAQA, NUILAND NAGALAND

VERSUS

SHRI J. ALAM, IAS AND 8 ORS
CHIUEF SECRETARY, NAGALAND, KOHIMA 2:SHRI ANOOP KHINCHI
IAS
COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS DEPARTMENT
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

3:SHRI VIKEYIE KENYA
IAS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
HOME DEPARTMENT
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

4:SHRI SENTIYANGER IMCHEN
IAS

FINANCE COMMISSIONER
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

5:SHRI WONCHIO ODYUO
NCS

ADDL. SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
NAGALAND

KOHIMA.

6:SHRI SUSHIL KUMAR PATEL
IAS

COMMISSIONER
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

7:SMTI. SARAH S. JAMIR
NCS

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
NUILAND
NAGALAND

8:SMTI. IMLIJUNGLA LEMTUR
NCS
EXTRA ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
AQHUNAQA
NAGALAND

9:SHRI PITOHO CHOPHY
IAAS

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
KOHIMA
NAGALAN

Advocate for the Petitioner : VIKHONO KISO, I. IMTI LONGCHAR,SUPONGWATI WALLING,R ATSULA KIPS,OBANGNENLA LONGCHAR

Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI

ORDER

Date : 14-11-2024

Heard Mr. Supongwati Walling, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. V. Suokhrie, learned Addl. A.G appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos. 2 to 8, Mr. N. Angami,

learned Government Advocate for the respondent No.1 and Mr. N. Mozhui, learned counsel for the respondent No.9.

By way of this application under section 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act,1971, read with with the Comtempt of Courts (Gauhati High Court) Rules 1977 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner is seeking initiation of contempt against the respondents for alleged willful violation of this Court's order dated 15.11.2023 passed in W.A. No.4/2023.

Today when the matter was called, it is submitted at the bar that the pending amount has already been cleared to the petitioner.

Mr. Supongwati Walling, learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he has received instruction from the petitioner that the petitioner has received the pending arrear amount in her account. That being so, nothing remains for adjudication in the instant contempt petition.

Accordingly, the contempt petition stands closed.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant