GAHC020001622024

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/46/2024

TARACHU FITHU
S/O MESUSU FITHU
JOINT DIRECTOR, DEPT. OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT, GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 7 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND 2:THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER

KOHIMA
NAGALAND

3:THE SECRETARY

URBAN DEVELOPMENT
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

4:THE DIRECTOR

URBAN DEVELOPMENT
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

5:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY

WORKS AND HOUSING DEPARTMENT
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

6:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

WORKS AND HOUSING DEPARTMENT
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

7:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY

P AND AR DEPARTMENT
KOHIMA
NAGALAND

8:SMTI. TEMJENRENLA

ASST. DIRECTOR
DIRECTORATE OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT
KOHIMA
NAGALAN

Advocate for the Petitioner : I. APOK PONGENER

Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY

08-04-2024

The present application is filed for correction of an typographical error at paragraph No. 21 of the judgment and order dated 08.04.2022 passed in WP(C) 71(K)/2010.

In the paragraph No. 21 of the said judgment and order dated the following sentence at line No. 16 was written:

"*In any of the event, the petitioner cannot be treated as senior to the respondent No. 8.*"

However, the said sentence ought to have been written as "in any of the event, the respondent No. 8 cannot be treated as Senor to the petitioner" inasmuch as a declaration was made in the said judgment and order to the effect that the petitioner is Senior to respondent No. 8.

Accordingly, the present application stands allowed and it is provided that the line at paragraph No. 21 be read as "**in any of the event, the respondent No. 8 cannot be treated as Senior to the petitioner".**

IA stands disposed of.

Sd/-

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant