GAHC020001142024

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/29/2024

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THEN CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
2: THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
PHED NAGALAND KOHIMA

3: THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PHED NAGALAND KOHIMA

4: THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PHED
PHEK NAGALAND

5: THE SECRETARY
P AND AR DEPT
NAGALAND KOHIMA

6: THE SECRETARY
DEPT. OF FINANCE
NAGALAND KOHIM

VERSUS

SHRI SHIWANG KONYAK
RETD. WORKCHARGE KHALASI
R/O CHANGLANGSHU VILLAGE, MON NAGALAND

Advocate for the Petitioner : E THIBA PHOM

Advocate for the Respondent :

Linked Case : WP(C)/104/2022

MR. SHIWANG KONYAK
RETD. WORK CHARGE KHALASI
R/O CHANGLANGSHU VILLAGE
MON
NAGALAND

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND
NAGALAND KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING (PHED)
NAGALAND KOHIMA
3:THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT (PHED)
KOHIMA NAGALAND
4:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PHED
MON DIVISION
NAGALAND
5:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS
NAGALAND KOHIMA
6:THE SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
NAGALAND KOHIMA

Advocate for : L LIKHASE SANGTAM
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 5 ORS

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA

ORDER

Date : 11.03.2024

Heard Mr. E. Thiba Phom, learned counsel for the

applicants. Also heard Mr. L. Kikhase Sangtam, learned counsel for the opposite party.

2. By filing this application, the applicants are seeking further 6 (six) months time for compliance of the order of the Court dated 21.02.2023, passed in WP(C) 104/2022.

3. The learned counsel for the opposite party has objected to the prayer made in this application.

4. Considered the objection raised by the learned counsel for the opposite party.

5. In view of the reasons assigned in this application that certain procedures require to be followed, after which the matter would be placed before the Cabinet for approval, the Court is inclined to grant further 6 (six) months time to the applicants to do whatever is necessary within the time allowed so that before expiry of the extended time, the order of this Court dated 21.02.2023, passed in WP(C) 104/2022 is complied with in letter and spirit and without fail.

6. Accordingly, this interlocutory application stands allowed to the extent as indicated above.

Sd/-

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant