

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 292 of 2022

Date of Decision: 18.03.2024

1. Shri Nerius Mawkhyllong,
Aged about 59 years
S/o (L) Jotindro Megam
R/o Mawnar Village, Riangsih Sirdarship
West Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya
2. Shri Srowell Mawkhyllong,
Aged about 44 years
S/o (L) T.S. Nianglang
Former R/o Mawlein Village, Riangsih Sirdarship
and present R/o Mawlong Village, Nonstoin Syiemship,
West Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya. **Petitioner(s)**

- Versus -

1. Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council,
Represented by its Secretary to the
Executive Committee, Shillong.
 2. The Executive Committee,
Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council,
Shillong.
 3. The Executive Member, i/c Elaka Admn. etc.,
Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council,
Shillong.
 4. The Executive Member, i/c Arts & Culture etc.,
Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council,
Shillong.
 5. The Deputy Secretary to the Executive Committee,
Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council,
Shillong.
-

6. The Secretary Riangsih Sirdarship,
Myndo Village,
B.P.O. Umsohpieng-793126
West Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.
7. Shri Aldinal Sohmad,
S/o (L) N. Nonglang,
R/o Myndo Village, Riangsih Sirdarship,
B.P.O. Umsohpieng-793126
West Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.
8. Shri Blastarland Sohmad,
S/o M. Nianglang,
R/o Nongmisei Village, Nongstoin Syiemship,
B.P.O. Riango-793126
West Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya. Respondent(s)

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

- For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. H.L. Shangreiso, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. T. Dkhar, Adv.
- For the Respondent(s) : Mr. V.G.K. Kynta, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. C. Nongkhlaw, Adv. (For R 1-5)
Mr. B. Khyriem, Adv. (For R 6-8)

-
- | | | |
|-----|--|--------|
| i) | Whether approved for reporting in
Law journals etc: | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether approved for publication
in press: | Yes/No |
-

(ORAL)

1. This matter was heard at length on the last date, which Mr.
H.L. Shangreiso, learned Senior counsel assisted by Mr. T. Dkhar,

learned counsel for the petitioners had sought time to obtain instructions.

2. Today, the learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits on instructions that he may be allowed to withdraw this writ petition, with liberty to seek alternate remedy before the appropriate forum.

3. The prayer is allowed, accordingly this matter stands closed on withdrawal, with liberty as prayed.

JUDGE

Meghalaya
18.03.2024
"V. Lyndem-PS"