HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA

Crl. Petn. No.41 of 2024

1. Shri Panna Roy, S/o Late Sudhir Chandra Roy, resident of Netaji Nagar, Opposite to ONGC Main Gate, South Badharghat, P.O- ONGC Agartala, Tripura-799014.
2. Shri Shakyajit Choudhuri, S/o- Shri Tapas Kumar Choudhuri, resident of College Tilla, P.O-Agartala College, Agartala, Tripura – 799004.
3. Shri Biswajit Bhattacharjee, S/o Lt. Bimalendu Bhattacharjee, resident of Dhaleswar Road No. 7, Agartala, Tripura – 799007.
4. Shri Manideep Das, S/o Lt. Manindra Chandra Das, resident of Abhoynagar (Lake Centre), Behind Malancha Office, Agartala, Tripura-799005.
5. Shri Sudin Prakash Chattopadhyay, S/o Shri Lt. Anadi Nath Chattopadhyay, resident of 15A, T1, Krishnachura, Akankha, Newtown, Kolkata 700161.

……. Petitioner(s).

Versus

1. The State of Tripura.
2. Ms. Ekata Deb, D/o Lt. Ankush Deb, Vill-10-B Joynagar, Lane-06, Near Dashamighat Club, PS-West Agartala, Tripura West.

……. Respondent(s).

For Petitioner (s) :	Mr. K.D. Singha, Advocate, Mr. A. Puri, Advocate, Ms. Ayesha Saha Hirawat, Advocate.
For Respondent(s) :	Mr. Raju Datta, Public Prosecutor, Mr. Nilanjan Das, Advocate.

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. APARESH KUMAR SINGH

ORDER

20/11//2024

All the petitioners have joined together to seek quashing of FIR No.2018 KKB 107 lodged by the informant-respondent No.2 under Sections 354(A), 354(B), 376(2)(n), 417, 506, 509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 by invoking the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. on the basis of a settlement agreement arrived at between the respondent No.2 and petitioners dated 30.10.2024 (Annexure-2).

Mr. A. Puri, learned counsel for the petitioner has, however, pointed out from the order framing charge dated 12.06.2019 that separate charges have been framed against each of the individual petitioners on the basis of the

materials contained in the charge-sheet by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gomati District, Udaipur. Therefore, he seeks permission to withdraw this instant petition with liberty to the petitioners to file individual petitions.

Respondent No.2 has entered appearance on her own through learned counsel, Mr. Nilanjan Das by filing *Vakalatnama* though no notice has been issued upon the respondent No.2.

Mr. Raju Datta, learned Public Prosecutor does not have any objection to the withdrawal of the instant petition.

Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

Liberty, as prayed for, is allowed.

(APARESH KUMAR SINGH), CJ

HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
सत्यमेव जयते

Munna S