HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA
REV.PETN. NO.30 OF 2024

Sri Avijit Chowdhury
Vs.
Smt. Supriya Chakroborty.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BISWAJIT PALIT

Present:

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. D. Bhattacharjee, Sr. Advocate.
Mr. S. Saha, Advocate.

For the Respondent(s) : None.

19.11.2024

Order

This present application has been filed for reviewing the Order dated 06.11.2024 passed in Mat. App. No. 03 of 2024.

2. The brief facts of this case are that the respondent preferred an appeal being MAT Appeal No. 04 of 2024 against the Judgment dated 20.09.2023 passed in TS(Div) No. 65 of 2020 by the learned Family Court, Agartala, West Tripura. The case was taken up by this Court on 06.11.2024, whereby this Hon'ble Court directed both parties to live together and lead their conjugal life. It was further directed that the petitioner should take care of the respondent with due respect and hospitality. A direction was also issued for immediate

compliance. Subsequent to the said direction, the petitioner-husband herein attempted to comply with the said order and to lead a conjugal life. However, the respondent-wife allegedly assaulted the petitioner and created disturbances and nuisances at the petitioner's workplace, making his life miserable. Due to such situation, the petitioner-husband has filed this petition for a review of the order dated 06.11.2024 passed by this Court.

3. Heard Mr. D. Bhattacharjee, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Mr. S. Saha, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. None appears for the respondent.

4. Vide order dated 06.11.2024, the main case was listed on 10.12.2024, and the same was only an interim order. There is no reason for the petitioner herein to file this present review application. The affidavit herein does not contain anything substantial but only allegations, and for such allegations, the petitioner-husband could have filed a complaint. As the order dated 06.11.2024 is only an interim order and the case has been fixed for 10.12.2024, the petitioner could have waited and submitted his grievance on the said date.

5. As such, in view of the above observation, this Court does not find any reason to interfere with the order dated 06.11.2024, and the present review petition stands dismissed. As a sequel, miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand closed.

B. PALIT, J **T. AMARNATH GOUD, J**

HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA

सत्यमेव जयते

suhanjit
RAJKUMAR SUHANJIT SINGHA
Digitally signed by RAJKUMAR SUHANJIT SINGHA
Date: 2024.11.19 14:04:43 +05'30'