HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA

WP(C) No. 643 of 2024

Smt. Nanda Rani Debbarma ……*Petitioner(s)*

Versus

The State of Tripura & Ors. ……..*Respondent(s)*

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. T D Majumder, Sr. Advocate. Ms. R. Debbarma, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kohinoor N. Bhattacharya,G.A. Mr. M. Debbarma, Addl. G.A.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD

ORDER

04.11.2024

Heard Mr. T.D Majumder, learned senior counsel assisted by Ms. R. Debbarma, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. Kohinoor N. Bhattacharya, learned G.A, assisted by Mr. M. Debbarma, learned Addl. GA appearing for the respondent-State.

[2] This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs:-

> "i) A writ of mandamus directing the Respondent to process and grant the family pension, gratuity, provident fund amount, and group insurance amount to the Petitioner without further delay….."

[3] It is the case of the petitioner that her husband died on 08.07.2020 and during his life time, he was serving in the respondents' institution. On 23th August 2024, the petitioner made a request before

the respondents for settling the terminal benefits and accordingly, submitted all the required documents. But, the respondents failed to process the petitioner's application for family pension and other benefits. The petitioner again on 02.11.2023, submitted a representation to the authority to let her know the status of her application for family pension and pensionary benefits but, no response was received. Having no response from the authority, the petitioner again served another representation on 22.03.2024, requesting the respondents to finalise the matter of family pension and pensionary benefits and thereafter, the respondents have processed the request and the correspondences between the respondents dated 21.05.2024 and 24.05.2024 indicate that some amount has been accorded for leave salary and according to the petitioner the same has been credited to her Savings Bank Account. Thereafter, another correspondence was made on 27.08.2024 and some amounts under different head were sanctioned but according to the petitioner, that were not credited to her account and some terminal benefits to the petitioner is still awaited. In view of the same, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing this present writ petition.

[4] In view of such circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of, giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents and file a fresh statement of account and make a demand according to her entitlement. On receipt of the same, the respondents shall consider the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law and decide the same as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 03(three) months thereafter.

[5] With the above observation and direction, this present writ petition stands disposed of. As a sequel, miscellaneous application(s), pending if any, shall also stand closed.

JUDGE

HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA

सत्यमेव जयते

Sabyasachi G.