HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA

WP(C) 641 of 2024

Smt. Lila Rani Das ……. …… Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Tripura and others ……Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. CS Sinha, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Kohinoor N. Bhattacharya, G.A.
Mr. K. De, Addl. G.A.
Mr. M. Debbarma, Addl. G.A.
Mr. D. Sarkar, Advocate.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD

Order

04.11.2024

This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking mainly the following reliefs:

> "(a). Admit this petition;
>
> (b).Call for the records.
>
> (c). Issue writ in the nature of mandamus calling upon the respondents show cause as to why the petitioner should not be provided with the terminal and Pensionary benefits of her deceased son……."

[2] It is the case of the petitioner that she is a senior citizen of 84 years of age. Her husband was in PWD, Department and he died while in service. Thereafter, his son got job of Work Assistant in the said department under die-in-harness scheme. The son also died while in service leaving his wife Jaba Rani Das and the mother, the petitioner herein. It is contended that the wife of the deceased son of the petitioner is presently living with her parents and she left the petitioner without taking care of her. The petitioner made several requests to the authority concerned for her share for the terminal benefits of her deceased son but, no action has been taken from the respondents.

Being aggrieved, the present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner.

[3] Heard Mr. CS Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. Kohinoor N. Bhattacharya, learned G.A. appearing for the State respondents. Mr. D. Sarkar, learned counsel is present on behalf of respondent No.3.

[4] It is seen from record that on 26.10.2022, a prayer for getting share of service proceed of the deceased was made to the Chief Engineer, PWD (R & B), Secretariat Complex, Agartala, Tripura West (respondent No.2 herein) by way of a letter through registered post, but there is no proof of proper service or receipt of the said demand. In view of the same, the petitioner is directed to again submit the demands to the concerned respondent within ten days from today i.e. on or before 14th of November, 2024 and get proper acknowledgment of receipt of the same. In the event if any such demand is received, the concerned respondent authority shall consider the same in accordance with law and inform their decision to the petitioner as early as possible, preferably within a period of two months thereafter.

With the above observation and direction, the present writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

JUDGE

HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
सत्यमेव जयते

Sabyasachi G.