HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA

WP (C) 575 of 2024

Smt. Rahanbati Reang (Dango) and another

……..Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Tripura and others

…….Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. S. Datta, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Kohinoor N. Bhattacharya, G.A.
Ms. P. Goswami, Advocate

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD

ORDER

06.09.2024

Heard.

[2] The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India by the petitioners seeking the following reliefs:

> "i. Admit the Instant Writ Petition.
>
> ii. Issue notice upon the Respondents.
>
> iii. Issue Rule upon the Respondents to show cause as to why a writ in the nature of mandamus shall not be issued, to extend the benefit of half of the family pension amount to the minor child of the deceased from the total amount of family pension, admissible, entitled and draw by the first wedded wife of the deceased Suklal Dango, Ex. Night Guard (Group - D employee), with reference to the direction of the Judgement & Order dated, 04.05.2022, passed in W.P.(C) 183 of 2021, Smt. Priya Harijan Versus The State of Tripura & Ors (Annexure - 4) along with other pensionary benefits, as admissible as per law.
>
> AND
>
> iv. After hearing the parties, make the rules absolute with cost……."

[3] It is seen from record that the petitioner No.1 made a prayer to respondent No.2 through a letter dated 23.08.2024 for providing her a job on compassionate ground under the Die-in-Harness scheme. It is also seen from record that a demand notice dated 23.08.2024 has been dispatched to the respondent No.2 through the registered post with

regard to the claim of the petitioners. But, till now, the same have not been considered by the said respondent.

[4] In view of the above, without going into the merits of the case, this Court directs the concerned respondent(s) to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the aforesaid letter dated 23.08.2024 and the demand notice dated 23.08.2024 in accordance with law. The decision taken in that regard be communicated to the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

With the above direction, the present writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, miscellaneous application(s), pending if any, shall also stand closed.

JUDGE

HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA

Sabyasachi. G.

RAJKUMAR SUHANJIT SINGHA
Digitally signed by RAJKUMAR SUHANJIT SINGHA
Date: 2024.09.10 12:48:33 +05'30'