**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA**
**AGARTALA**

LA APP NO.67 OF 2024

The Executive Engineer
Vs.
Sri Pravash Chandra Roy and anr.

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD**

**Present:**

For the Appellant(s) : Mr. P. Gautam, Advocate.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. B. Bannerjee, Advocate.
Mr. D.C. Roy, Advocate.

**28.11.2024**

**Order**

This present appeal has been filed under Section 54 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 against the Judgment dated 02.09.2022 passed by the Land Acquistion Judge, Court No.1, West Tripura Agartala in Misc.(LA)32 of 2019 wherein the learned L.A. Judge enhanced the awarded amount of compensation from Rs.24,00,000/- per kani to Rs.50,00,000/- per kani for Bastu(Tilla) class of land.

2. Heard Mr. P. Gautam, learned counsel appearing for the appellant as well as Mr. B. Bannerjee, learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

3. Mr. Gautam, learned counsel appearing for the appellant, submits that the learned Court below has passed an

order enhancing the compensation from Rs. 24,00,000/- per kani to Rs. 60,00,000/- per kani for Bastu (Tilla) class of land without providing any reasons. He prays for the matter to be remanded back, giving both sides an opportunity to present their case and directing the Court below to pass a reasoned order based on the exhibits already available before it.

4. Heard and perused the record.

5. This Court considers the representation made by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant to be reasonable. In all matters related to this appeal, this Court has remanded the issues. Accordingly, there is a direction to the Court below to decide the present matter as well and passed a reasoned order by giving opportunities to both the parties. Hence, the appeal is remanded back and thus disposed of.

6. As a sequel, stay, if any, stands vacated. Pending application(s), if any, also stands closed.

**JUDGE**

Suhanjit
RAJKUMAR SUHANJIT SINGHA
Digitally signed by RAJKUMAR SUHANJIT SINGHA
Date: 2024.12.03 10:58:40 +05'30'