

**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA  
AGARTALA**

RFA NO.14 OF 2023

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BISWAJIT PALIT**

**Present:**

For the Appellant(s) : None.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. P. Roy Barman, Sr. Advocate.  
Mr. S. Bhattacharjee, Advocate.  
Mr. K. Nath, Advocate.

**10.07.2024**

**Order**

It is seen from the Court proceeding dated 26.06.2024 that when the case was called, there was no representation on behalf of the appellant-State, Mr. P. Roy Barman, learned Sr. counsel assisted by Mr. K. Nath, learned counsel was present on behalf of the respondent and the case was adjourned to 03.07.2024.

When the case was listed on 03.07.2024, again there was no representation on behalf of the appellant-State, Mr. P. Roy Barman, learned Sr. counsel assisted by Mr. K. Nath, learned counsel was present on behalf of the respondent and the same was adjourned to 09.07.2024.


Thereafter, when the case was again listed on 09.07.2024, there was no representation on behalf of the appellant-State, Mr. P. Roy Barman, learned Sr. counsel assisted by Mr. K. Nath, learned counsel was present on behalf of the respondent and the same was again listed on 10.07.2024.

When the case is called today, there was no representation on behalf of the appellant-State, Mr. P. Roy Barman, learned Sr. counsel assisted by Mr. K. Nath, learned counsel is present on behalf of the respondent.

In view of the above recording, this Court draws an adverse inference that the appellant-State is not interested in prosecuting the matter and the same is accordingly dismissed for default.

**B. PALIT, J**

**T. AMARNATH GOUD, J**



suhanjit

RAJKUMAR  
SUHANJIT  
SINGHA

Digitally signed by  
RAJKUMAR  
SUHANJIT SINGHA  
Date: 2024.07.12  
14:04:01 +05'30'

सत्यमेव जयते