

**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA
WP(C) No.22 of 2024**

Sri Anjan Sarkar & 16 Ors.

Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Tripura & 02 Ors.

Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Arijit Bhaumik, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Ms. R. Chakraborty, Advocate

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD

ORDER

16.01.2024

This present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant increment in favour of the petitioners as per Note-2 of Rule 13 (I) (V) of the Tripura State Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2009 introduced by the Tripura State Civil Services (Revised Pay) (5th Amendment) Rules, 2009 notified vide Notification dated 06.01.2011 w.e.f. 01.01.2006.

The petitioners have prayed for the following reliefs:-

"I. Issue notice upon the Respondents.

II. Call for the Records.

III. Issue Rule calling upon the Respondents to show cause as to why the Petitioners shall not be granted the benefit of one increment under Note-2 of Rule 13(1) (V) of the TSCS (RP) Rules, 2009 along with all arrears of financial benefit.

AND

Issue Rule calling upon the Respondents to show cause as to why the memorandum dated 6th July, 2011 issued by the Finance Department, Government of Tripura shall not be set aside and quashed.

AND

Issue Rule calling upon the Respondents to show cause as to why the Memorandum dated 16.10.2007 issued by the Finance Department, Govt. of Tripura shall not be set aside and quashed.

AND/OR

IV. And after hearing the parties, be pleased to make the rule absolute"

[2] Heard Mr. Arijit Bhaumik, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners. Ms. R. Chakraborty, learned counsel appears on behalf of the State-respondents.

[3] It is seen from record that the petitioners have submitted representation through their respective learned counsel in the form of legal notice dated 19.12.2023 addressed to the Director of Secondary Education, Government of Tripura but they got no response.

[4] In view of above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Official-respondents to consider the representation dated 19.12.2023 made by the petitioners before the respondents in accordance with law within a period of 3 (three) months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

[5] Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of. As a sequel, miscellaneous application(s), pending if any, shall stand closed.

JUDGE

Paritosh

**SABYASACHI
GHOSH**

Digitally signed by SABYASACHI
GHOSH
Date: 2024.01.19 17:25:28 +05'30'