SHAMURAILATPAM SUSHIL SHARMA
Digitally signed by SHAMURAILATPAM SUSHIL SHARMA
Date: 2024.11.13 13:27:32 +05'30'

Item No. 66

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

MC(WA) No. 86 of 2024

The State of Manipur and Another

Applicants

Vs.

Mr. Rolly Hongam and 2 Others

Respondents

BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SIDDHARTH MRIDUL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GOLMEI GAIPHULSHILLU KABUI

ORDER

11.11.2024
Siddharth Mridul, C.J.:

Mr. S. Niranjan, learned GA, appears on behalf of the applicants through video conferencing.

The present application has been instituted on behalf of the State of Manipur praying as follows :

> "*In the premises stated above, Your Lordships' may be graciously pleased to consider the reasons given in the foregoing paras and circumstances stated therein as the sufficient cause for condoning the unintentional delay of 207 days only in filing the accompanying Writ Appeal and the same be heard on merit as the ends of justice may call for.*"

Mr. N. Biren, learned counsel; Mr. S. Kaminikumar Singh, learned CGC and Mr. BR Sharma, learned CGC, appearing on behalf of respondents No. 1, 2 and 3 respectively fairly do not oppose to the limited relief prayed for in the application.

In view of the foregoing as well as the averments made in the application, we are of the view that applicants have been able to make out sufficient cause for condoning the delay of 207 (two hundred and seven) days in filing the accompanying appeal.

Delay of 207 (two hundred and seven) days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

The application is accordingly allowed and disposed of.

The Registry is directed to number the appeal, if it is found to be in order and list the appeal for admission.

JUDGE **CHIEF JUSTICE**

Sushil