

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL
Review.Pet. No. 16 of 2016**

L. Nobin Singh

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Manipur & 3 ors.

Respondents

BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

01.03.2024

Mr. H.S. Paonam, learned senior counsel, appears on behalf of the petitioner; Mrs. Momota Devi Oinam, learned counsel, appears on behalf of respondent No. 3; and Mr. Athouba Khaidem, learned G.A., appears on behalf of the State.

After some arguments, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties, state that in view of the error of fact evident on the record; in as much as, although Mrs. M. Bimola Devi (the original respondent No. 4) was stated to have passed her degree course 'as a private candidate' under Manipur University, the response of the letter dated 23.08.2016 to the RTI query filed on behalf of the original writ petitioner reflects that she had in fact passed her B.A. (Statistics) as 'regular candidate'; the present review petition may be partly allowed and the writ petition may be heard afresh.

In view of the foregoing, the review petition is allowed to the extent that the order dated 01.08.2016 is recalled and the writ petition instituted on behalf of the present writ petitioner is revived and directed to be listed for hearing afresh in accordance with law.

Needless to state that in the revived writ petition, the parties will be at liberty to file additional pleadings, as may be necessary in view of the passing of the present order.

Further, it is clarified that, the present order has been rendered, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties as articulated in the writ petition, granting them liberty to canvass them before the learned Single Judge.

The review petition is accordingly disposed of.

The writ petition is restored to its own original number. List it for hearing before the appropriate bench according to roster.

CHIEF JUSTICE

Indrajeet