

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP(C) No.646 of 2023

Raikhani Kashak

... Petitioner

-Versus-

State of Manipur & 4 Ors

... Respondents

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.GUNESHWAR SHARMA

22.08.2024

Heard Ms.Kabamdailiu Pamei, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Shyam Sharma, learned Government Advocate for the State respondents.

The petitioner approached this Court for appointing him in any appropriate post under die-in-harness scheme in place of his deceased father.

It is stated that in this respect, petitioner earlier approached this Court by way of WP(C) No.824 of 2019 and vide order dated 29.1.2020, this Court directed the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner in accordance with law as per prevailing die-in-harness scheme within a period of two months. In this respect, the IGP (Adm) for DGP wrote to the Special Secretary, Home to take decision with respect to the application made by the petitioner. From the record of the case, it is seen that no decision has been taken by the Special Secretary in pursuance of the letter dated 10.02.2020 written by the IGP (Adm).

In the circumstance, the writ petitioner approached this Court for issuance of a direction to the State respondents to appoint him in any Grade III/IV posts in the Manipur Police Department, under die-in-harness scheme.

This Court is of the opinion that the writ petition may be disposed of at this stage by directing the respondents to consider the pending application of the petitioner for his appointment in any Grade III/IV posts in the Manipur Police Department, under die-in-harness scheme.

The same exercise shall be taken within three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. It is made clear that this Court does not express any opinion on the merit of the case.

Furnish a copy of this order to learned counsel for the parties.

JUDGE

Priyojit

RAJKUMAR
R PRIYOJIT
SINGH

Digitally signed
by RAJKUMAR
PRIYOJIT SINGH
Date: 2024.08.30
09:41:05 +05'30'