

Item No.73

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP(C)No.579 of 2022

Smt. Kh. Tombisana Devi, aged about 49 years, w/o Shri. L. Sanatomba Singh, resident of Sagolband Nepra Menjor leikai, PO Imphal, PS Lamphel and District Imphal West, Manipur.

...Petitioner

- Versus-

The State of Manipur through the Principal Secretary/Commissioner/Secretary(Agriculture), Govt. of Manipur & Anr.

...Respondents

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA

ORDER
(Oral)

07.05.2024.

[1] Heard Mr. SH. Athoi Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. TH. Sukumar learned G.A for the State respondent.

[2] The petitioner who is a stenographer Grade-III in Agricultural Department of Muster Roll prayed for regularization as done in the case of other similarly situated persons in Agricultural Department in pursuance of the judgment and order dated 12.07.2017 passed by this Court in WP(C)No.848 of 2016(A/13).

[3] It is stated that the order of the learned Single Judge is upheld by the Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.12.2020 in writ appeal being No.11 of 2018(A/15) and by Supreme Court vide order dated 21.02.2022 in SLP No. 18315 of 2021(A/17). The Hon'ble Supreme

Court upheld the direction of the learned Single Judge's direction to consider the case of the petitioner therein for regularization of their posts as per applicable law.

[4] Mr. SH. Athoi Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner draws attention of this Court to the list of similarly situated person prepared by the Director of Agricultural Department vide order dated 01.02.2017 where the name of the petitioner is shown at serial No.2 in the list of the 91 (Ninety one) similar persons.

[5] It is prayed that a direction may be issued to the State respondent for considering the case of the petitioner for regularization as per the above orders passed by this Court as well as by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

[6] Mr. Shyam Sharma, learned G.A submits that the concerned department may be permitted to consider the case of the petitioner on its own merit without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case.

[7] Recording the submissions made at the bar and perusing the materials on record, the State respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of the Annexure A/13, A/15 and A/17 within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

[6] Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

John Kom